

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OP PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			www.uspto.gov		
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   CONFIRMATION NO		
09/464,253	12/16/1999	LOUIS CENTOFANTI	ATTORNET BOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	12.10,1337		16715-0121	7296	
23594 7	90 05/20/2003				
JOHN S. PRA	TT				
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP			EXAMINER		
1100 PEACHT	REE		TOTINGON: Ex	TOTAL CONTRACT	
SUITE 2800			JOHNSON. EDWARD M		
ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT		
			AIC CMI	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754	19	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	17	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Y		LA				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/464,253	CENTOFANTI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward M. Johnson	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-29</u> .						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The recitation, "wherein the polymer is not a superplasticizer" is a new issue, which would require further consideration and/or search. Also, support for the negative limitation, "wherein the polymer is not a superplasticizer" was not found in the original disclosure.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued throughout that Allen does not disclose a polymer, which is not a superplasticizer. This is not persuasive because the amendment containing the argued limitation has not yet been entered. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., a polymer, which is not a superplasticizer) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

STANLEY S. SILVERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700